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Quantitative estimates for approximation with positive linear operators are derived. The results are in the same vein as recent results of Berens and DeVore. Two examples are provided.

## 1. Introduction

Berens and DeVore [1, 2] have recently obtained quantitative estimates for $L_{p}$ approximation with positive linear operators. The results may be formulated as follows: Let $I=[a, b]$ and let $L_{p}(I)(1 \leqslant p<\infty)$ denote the space of measurable real-valued $p$ th power Lebesgue integrable functions $f$ on $I$ with $\|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{a}^{b}|f|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. For $f \in L_{p}(I)$, define the second-order integral modulus of smoothness as

$$
\omega_{2, p}(f, h)=\sup _{0<t \leqslant h}\|f(\cdot+t)-2 f(\cdot)+f(\cdot-t)\|_{L_{p(t, t)}},
$$

where $L_{p}\left(I_{2 t}\right)$ indicates that the $L_{p}$ norm is taken over $[a+t, b-t]$. Let $e_{i}(t)=t^{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$. A linear map $L$ from $L_{p}(I)$ into $L_{p}(I)$ is called a contraction if $\|L(f)\|_{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in L_{p}(I)$. Let $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d], a \leqslant c<d \leqslant b$, and define

$$
\lambda_{n p}=\left(\max _{i=0,1}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{i}\right)-e_{i}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{n p}=\left(\max _{i=0,1,2}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{i}\right)-e_{i}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

In [1] it is shown that if $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive linear contractions from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[a, b]$, then, for $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[a, b]} \leqslant C_{1}\left[\lambda_{n p}^{2 / p}\|f\|_{p}+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, \lambda_{n p}^{1 / p}\right)\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$.
In [2] it is shown that if $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d]$, then, for $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant C_{2}\left[\lambda_{n p}^{4 p /(2 p+1)}\|f\|_{p}+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, \lambda_{n p}^{2 p /(2 p+1)}\right)\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$. Estimate (1.2) is good for large $p$, while (1.1) is effective for positive linear contractions with $p$ close to 1 . In general, (1.1) and (1.2) cannot be improved, and (1.1) is not valid for contraction operators that map $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d], a<c<d<b$.

Many well-known sequences of positive linear operators have a rate of convergence that is better than that predicted by (1.1) and (1.2) (see, e.g., $[1,7,10])$. These sequences satisfy the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant M_{p}\left[\hat{\lambda}_{n p}^{2}\|f\|_{p}+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, \hat{\lambda}_{n p}\right)\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{p}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$.
The estimate (1.3) is the $L_{p}$ analog of Freud's optimal estimate [4] for approximation in the space $C[a, b]$.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate conditions under which (1.3) can be attained. Specifically, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{n p}=\left(\operatorname { m a x } \left\{\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad\left\|L_{n}((t-x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}(c, d]},\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{\left.L_{p} \mid c, d\right]}^{2 p /(2 p+1)}\right\}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d]$. We prove

Theorem 1. Let $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ be uniformly bounded sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d], 1 \leqslant p<\infty, a \leqslant c<d \leqslant b$, and assume $\mu_{n p} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Then for $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$ and $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant C_{p}\left(\mu_{n p}^{2}\|f\|_{p}+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, \mu_{n p}\right)\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$.

Estimate (1.4) is never worse than (1.2). If $\mu_{n p}^{2}=$ $\max \left\{\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]},\left\|L_{n}((t-x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}\right\}$, then estimate (1.4) is better than (1.1) (for $p>1$ ) or (1.2), and it is equivalent to (1.3). This is the case for the convolution operators of [10, Remarks, p. 362 and Lemma 1, p. 356].

The second result requires some additional information about the approximation properties of $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$. Here we deal with sequences $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \equiv\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for each $n$ and

$$
t_{n p}=\left(\max \left\{\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]},\left\|L_{n}((t-x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}, \mu_{n}\right\}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d]$ such that $t_{n p} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$.
(i) If $p>1$ and $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$, then

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant M_{p}\left[t_{n p}^{2}\|f\|_{p}+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, t_{n p}\right)\right]
$$

where $M_{p}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$.
(ii) If there exists $\alpha>3$ such that

$$
\left\|L_{n}\left(|t-x|^{\alpha}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]}=O\left(\mu_{n}^{\alpha / 2}\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

then, for $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$,

$$
\left\|f-L_{n}(f)\right\|_{L_{1}[c, d]} \leqslant M_{1}\left[t_{n \mathrm{t}}^{2}\|f\|_{1}+\omega_{2,1}\left(f, t_{n 1}\right)\right]
$$

where $M_{1}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$.
The estimates of Theorem 2 are equivalent to (1.3) and are better than the Berens-DeVore estimates when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}=O\left(\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{1}[c, d]}\right) \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the case for the Bernstein-Kantorovic operators of $[1,3,7]$. However, even if (1.6) is not satisfied, the following example shows that the estimates of Theorem 2 can be sharp in cases where those of Theorem 1 are not;

Fix $\alpha>0, \quad \beta>0$, and, for $n$ sufficiently large, define $L_{n}: L_{p}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p}[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{n}(f(t), x) & =f(x) & & \left|x-\frac{1}{2}\right| \geqslant n^{-\beta} \\
& =\frac{n^{\alpha}}{2} \int_{n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{\alpha}} f(t+x) d t, & & \left|x-\frac{1}{2}\right|<n^{-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $L_{n}\left(e_{i}(t), x\right)=e_{i}(x), i=0,1$, and $L_{n}$ is not a contraction mapping. Additionally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right) & =0, & & \left|x-\frac{1}{2}\right| \geqslant n^{-\beta}, \\
& =n^{-2 \alpha} / 3, & & \left|x-\frac{1}{2}\right|<n^{-\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1} L_{n}\left((t-x)^{4}, x\right)=n^{-4 \alpha} / 5 .
$$

Theorem 1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}(0,1]} \leqslant & C_{p}\left(\|f\|_{p} n^{(-2 \alpha-(3 / p))(2 p /(2 p+1))}\right. \\
& \left.+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, n^{(-2 \alpha-(3 / p))(p /(2 p+1))}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

while Theorem 2 yields

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}(0,1]} \leqslant C_{p}\left(\|f\|_{p} n^{-2 \alpha}+\omega_{2, p}\left(f, n^{-\alpha}\right)\right) .
$$

The latter estimate is better than the former if $\alpha>\beta$, since $n^{-\alpha}=o\left(n^{(-2 \alpha-(3 / p)) p /(2 p+1)}\right)(n \rightarrow \infty)$ in this case.

Assuming $\alpha>\beta$, then straightforward calculations establish the existence of constant $k_{p}>0$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\left\|L_{n}\left(\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{+}, x\right)-\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{+}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]} \geqslant k_{p} n^{-\alpha(1+1 / p)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{+} & =0, & & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \\
& =t-\frac{1}{2}, & & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant t \leqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\omega_{2, p}\left(\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{+}, \delta\right)=O\left(\delta^{1+1 / p}\right)\left(\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}\right)$, this shows that the estimate of Theorem 2 is sharp.

## 2. Proofs of the Theorems

Let $L_{p}^{(2)}(I)$ be the space of those functions $f \in L_{p}(I)$ with $f^{\prime}$ absolutely continuous and $f^{\prime \prime} \in L_{p}(I)$.

The keys to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are the following lemmas:
Lemma 1. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. For all $n$ sufficiently large and for $f \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$,

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant C_{p}^{\prime}\left(\|f\|_{p}+\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\right) \mu_{n p}^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$ and assume $f$ has been extended outside of $[a, b]$ so that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ if $x \notin[a, b]$.

For $t \in[a, b]$ and $x \in[c, d]$, we have

$$
f(t)-f(x)=f^{\prime}(x)(t-x)+\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L_{n}(f(t)-f(x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant & \left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]}\left\|L_{n}(t-x, x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& +\left\|L_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right)\right\|_{\left.L_{p} \mid c, d\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $\delta>0$. If $|t-x| \leqslant \delta$, then

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u\right| \leqslant \delta \int_{0}^{\delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u
$$

If $|t-x|>\delta$, then, using Hölder's inequality,

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u\right| \leqslant|t-x|^{2-1 / p}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p} \leqslant \frac{(t-x)^{2}}{\delta^{1 / p}}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| L_{n} & \left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right) \|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\delta L_{n}\left(e_{0}, x\right) \int_{0}^{\delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& +\left(\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p} / \delta^{1 / p}\right)\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is dominated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left\|\left(L_{n}\left(e_{0}, x\right)-e_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& \quad+\delta\left\|\int_{0}^{\delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& \quad \leqslant \\
& \quad \delta^{2-1 / p}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}+\delta \int_{0}^{\delta}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right\|_{p} d u \\
& \leqslant
\end{aligned}
$$

where the latter inequalities follow from Hölder's inequality, the generalized Minkowski inequality [9, p. 592], and the fact that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ if $x \notin[a, b]$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| L_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right) & \|_{\left.L_{p} \mid c, d\right]} \\
\leqslant & \left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\left(\delta^{2-1 / p}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}\right. \\
& \left.+\delta^{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{1 / p}}\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$, we can choose, for $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\left.\delta=\| L_{n}(t-x)^{2}, x\right) \|_{L_{p}(c, d)}^{p /(2 p+1)}
$$

to obtain

$$
\left\|L_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant 3\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p} q_{n p}
$$

where

$$
q_{n p}=\max \left\{\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]},\left\|L_{n}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right\|_{L_{p}(c, d]}^{2 p /(2 p+1)}\right\} .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& +\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]}\left\|L_{n}((t-x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}+3\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{q} q_{n p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using [5, Theorem 3.1], we obtain

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant C_{p}^{\prime}\left(\|f\|_{p}+\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\right) \mu_{n p}^{2}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.
Lemma 2. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then, for $f \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$ and $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant M_{p}^{\prime}\left(\|f\|_{p}+\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\right) t_{n p}^{2}
$$

where $M_{p}^{\prime}>0$ is independent of $f$ and $n$.
Proof. (i) Assume $p>1$ and $f \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L_{n}(f(t)-f(x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant & \left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]}\left\|L_{n}((t-x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& +\left\|L_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term is dominated by $\mu_{n}\left\|\theta\left(f^{\prime \prime}, x\right)\right\|_{p}$, where $\theta\left(f^{\prime \prime}, x\right)$ is the Hardy-Littlewood majorant of $f^{\prime \prime}$ at $x$. As is well known,

$$
\left\|\theta\left(f^{\prime \prime}, x\right)\right\|_{p} \leqslant K_{p}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}
$$

where $K_{p}>0$ depends only on $p$.
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{o}[c, d]}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \\
& +\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}[c, d]}\left\|L_{n}((t-x), x)\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]}+K_{p} \mu_{n}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the proof follows as in Lemma 1.
(ii) Assume $p=1$ and $f \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$. Assume $f$ has been extended outside of $[a, b]$ so that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ if $x \notin[a, b]$. Then, for $x \in[c, d]$ and $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid L_{n} & \left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right) \mid \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{I(b-a) / \delta]} L_{n}\left(|t-x| \int_{0}^{(j+1) \delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u, x\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(\delta \int_{0}^{\delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u\right) L_{n}\left(e_{0}, x\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{[(t-a) / \delta \mid} \frac{1}{(j \delta)^{\alpha-1}} \int_{0}^{(j+1) \delta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x+u)\right| d u \cdot L_{n}\left(|t-x|^{\alpha}, x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|L_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right)\right\|_{L_{1}[c, d]} \\
& \quad \leqslant \delta\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}-e_{0}\right)\right\|_{L_{1}[c, d]}+\delta^{2}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \\
& \quad+\left\|L_{n}\left(|t-x|^{\alpha}, x\right)\right\|_{\left.L_{\infty} \mid c, d\right]}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1) \delta}{(j \delta)^{\alpha-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the infinite series converges since $\alpha>3$, and where we have used the fact that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ if $x \notin[a, b]$. Choose $\delta=\mu_{n}^{1 / 2}$ to obtain

$$
\left\|L_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, x\right)\right\|_{L_{1}[\mathrm{c}, d]} \leqslant M\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} q_{n 1},
$$

where $M>0$ is an absolute constant and

$$
q_{n 1}=\max \left\{\left\|L_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\right\|_{L_{1}[c, d]}, \mu_{n}\right\} .
$$

The rest of the proof follows as in part (i). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

For $f \in L_{p}[a, b], 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and $t>0$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2, p}(f, t)=\inf _{g \in L_{p}^{(2)} \mid a, b 1}\left\{\|f-g\|_{p}+t\left(\|g\|_{p}+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\right)\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2, p}^{\prime}(f, t)=\inf _{g \in L_{p}^{2(2)}\{a, b]}\left\{\|f-g\|_{p}+t\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are the K -functionals of Peetre [8]. It is known [6] that there are constants $s_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, independent of $f$ and $p$, such that if $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \omega_{2, p}(f, t) \leqslant K_{2, p}\left(f, t^{2}\right) \leqslant \min \left(1, t^{2}\right)\|f\|_{p}+s_{2} \omega_{2, p}(f, t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3} \omega_{2, p}(f, t) \leqslant K_{2, p}^{\prime}\left(f, t^{2}\right) \leqslant s_{4} \omega_{2, p}(f, t) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$ and $g \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$. Since $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p}[a, b]$ into $L_{p}[c, d]$, we have, by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{L_{p}[c, d]} \leqslant\left(1+R_{p}\right)\|f-g\|_{p}+C_{p}^{\prime} \mu_{n p}^{2}\left(\|g\|_{p}+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}\right)
$$

for all $n$ sufficiently large, where $R_{p}>0$ is a uniform bound for $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$. Take the infimum over all $g \in L_{p}^{(2)}[a, b]$ and use (2.1) and (2.3) to obtain (1.4).

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1, except that Lemma 2 is used.
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